

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,390	07/31/2000	YOSHIHIDE WAKAYAMA	MOR-221-A	2960
759	00 02/21/2002		•	
ANDREW R BASILE YOUNG & BASILE 3001 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD		_	EXAMINER	
			HOPKINS, ROBERT A	
SUITE 624 TROY, MI 480	84-3107	i .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2222 2, 222			1724	7
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				50			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/601,390	WAKAYAMA ET	WAKAYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert A Hopkins	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Faillun - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, united in the statutory minimum are reply within the statutory minimum ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6 tatute, cause the application to become and will expire six (6 tatute, cause the application to become in the second i	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered tin  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)[	,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
• —	Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
11)∐ 1				iiilei.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	roian priority under 25 II	S.C. & 119(a)_(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment		•					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper tice of Informal Patent Application ( per:				

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-8 and 17 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that original claims 1-11as filed in the international application(PCT/JP98/05807) included an examination on all the claims, and therefore at least groups I and III should be similarly examined in this instance. This is not found persuasive because examination of all of the claims in an international application does not mean that examination of all the claims in a corresponding national stage application is required. Examiner is allowed to make an election/restriction requirement in a national stage application irrespective of the action of the examiner in the international application( which in the current case the examiner was from the Japanese Patent Office). Furthermore, as noted in the election/restriction requirement dated 9-28-01, the groups are clearly to different inventive concepts, and therefore restriction is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

This application contains claims 9-16 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,390 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Warburton, Jr(4291087).

Warburton, Jr teaches a filter medium(column 1 lines 21-22) for an air filter having fibers bound together by a binder to form a non-woven fabric, characterized in that the binder has its main ingredient a polymer dispersion(column 6 line 31) having a copolymer of a hydrophilic monomer and a hydrophobic monomer dispersed in water(column 8 lines 5-13; column 8 lines 64-69). Warburton, Jr further teaches wherein the copolymer is polymerized by using an organic peroxide as a polymerization initiator(column 11 line 26-28).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DiStefano(4859527).

DiStefano teaches a filter medium(column 13 lines 17) for an air filter having fibers bound together by a binder to form a non-woven fabric, characterized in that the binder has its main ingredient a polymer dispersion having a copolymer of a hydrophilic monomer and a hydrophobic monomer dispersed in water(column 3 lines 35-38). DiStefano further teaches wherein the copolymer is polymerized by using an organic

Art Unit: 1724

peroxide as a polymerization initiator. DiStefano further teaches wherein the hydrophilic monomer is acrylic acid and the hydrophobic monomer is ethylene.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Distler(3944690).

Distler teaches a non-woven fabric having fibers bound together by a binder, characterized in that the binder has its main ingredient a polymer dispersion having a copolymer of a hydrophilic monomer and a hydrophobic monomer dispersed in water(column 3 lines 8-11,14, and 15). Distler further teaches wherein the copolymer is polymerized by using an organic peroxide as a polymerization initiator. Distler further teaches wherein the hydrophilic monomer is methacrylate and the hydrophobic monomer is styrene.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Distler(3944690).

Distler teaches a method for manufacturing a non-woven fabric, the non woven fabric formed by binding fibers by a binder, characterized in that the binder has its chief ingredient a polymer dispersion having a copolymer of a hydrophilic monomer and a hydrophobic monomer dispersed in water. Distler further teaches wherein the copolymer is polymerized by using an organic peroxide as a polymerization initiator. Distler further teaches wherein the hydrophilic monomer is methacrylate and the hydrophobic monomer is styrene.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DiStefano(4859527).

Art Unit: 1724

DiStefano teaches a method for manufacturing a non-woven fabric, the non woven fabric formed by binding fibers by a binder, characterized in that the binder has its chief ingredient a polymer dispersion having a copolymer of a hydrophilic monomer and a hydrophobic monomer dispersed in water. DiStefano further teaches wherein the copolymer is polymerized by using an organic peroxide as a polymerization initiator. DiStefano further teaches wherein the hydrophilic monomer is acrylic acid and the hydrophobic monomer is ethylene.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Warburton, Jr(4291087)

Warburton, Jr teaches a method for manufacturing a non-woven fabric, the non woven fabric formed by binding fibers by a binder, characterized in that the binder has its chief ingredient a polymer dispersion having a copolymer of a hydrophilic monomer and a hydrophobic monomer dispersed in water. Warburton, Jr further teaches wherein the copolymer is polymerized by using an organic peroxide as a polymerization initiator.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warburton, Jr(4291087).

Art Unit: 1724

Warburton, Jr teaches all of the limitations of claims 3 and 6 but is silent as to wherein the hydrophilic monomer is at least one selected from acrylic acid, methacrylate, and methallyl sulfonate. Warburton, Jr in column 11 example 8 discloses a copolymer binder of butyl acrylate and styrene. Examiner respectfully submits that methacrylate and butyl acrylate have similar hydrophilic properties with the exception of an additional carbon atom in butyl acrylate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention through routine experimentation to substitute methacrylate for butyl acrylate in the copolymer of example 8 in order to provide for a polymer dispersion which has equivalent properties of non-toxicity(column 8 lines 64-68).

Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Warburton, Jr(4291087) or DiStefano(4859527).

Warburton, Jr and DiStefano both disclose a filter medium for an air filter having fibers bound together by a binder to form a non-woven fabric, characterized in that the binder has its main ingredient a polymer dispersion having a copolymer of a hydrophilic monomer and a hydrophobic monomer dispersed in water. Both Warburton, Jr and DiStefano are silent as to a frame and a sealing material incapable of generating gaseous organic substances. Examiner respectfully submits that aluminum filter frames and as mentioned sealing materials incapable of generating gaseous organic substances are well known in the filtration art, therefore it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a frame and a sealing material incapable of generating gaseous organic substances for the filter

Art Unit: 1724

material of Warburton, Jr and DiStefano in order to provide for a filter material, frame, and sealing material which are free of gaseous organic substances.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 7 recites "and wherein volatile organic substances are removed from said polymer dispersion by any one treatment of vacuum suction, aeration, nitrogen purging, and steam injection". Warburton, Jr discloses using a polymeric binder which is produced from monomers which are free of safety and toxicity problems, however Warburton, Jr does not disclose using any one of vacuum suction, aeration, nitrogen purging, and steam injection to remove volatile organic substances from the polymer dispersion. Therefore, it would not have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to including a step of removing volatile organic substances from the polymer dispersion of Warburton, Jr by any one of vacuum suction, aeration, nitrogen purging, and steam injection because Warburton, Jr does not suggest such a modification.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,390 Page 8

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A Hopkins whose telephone number is 703-308-3913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-3:00pm, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Simmons can be reached on 703-308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9572 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Robert A Hopkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

rah February 19, 2002